- I. Claims 1-13, drawn to a propellant composition, and
- II. Claims 14-17, drawn to a process of making a propellant composition.

Applicant elects the invention as set forth in claim 1-13, drawn to a propellant composition for further prosecution on the merits.

The Examiner further indicates that applicant must elect a single species based on the propellant composition (the species were not specified).

Based on a telephone conversation with the Examiner in charge of this application held on February 2, 2007, it is understood that the request for election of species relates to an election of a single species from species listed in claim 11, and that claims 1-10 and 12-13 would be considered together with the elected species of claim 11.

Accordingly, in response to the requirement of an election of species, applicant elects, with traverse, the species propane for further prosecution on the merits.

TRAVERSE

The Examiner's request for election of a single species is respectfully traversed. It is respectfully sub mitted that all of the species listed in claim 11 would perform the same function, that of a fuel, and the search for prior art with regard to all of the species will be clearly co-extensive.

An early action on the merits is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

lexander Zinchuk

Alexander Zinchuk Reg. No. 30,541

Abelman, Frayne & Schwab 666 Third Avenue, 10th Floor New York, NY 10017-5621 212-885-938 A 2